

I-95 Corridor Coalition -

I-95 Corridor Coalition Vehicle Probe Project: Validation of INRIX Data Monthly Report Virginia



November 2010

I-95 CORRIDOR COALITION VEHICLE PROBE PROJECT: VALIDATION OF INRIX DATA NOVEMBER 2010

Monthly Report

Prepared for:

I-95 Corridor Coalition

Sponsored by:

I-95 Corridor Coalition

Prepared by:

Ali Haghani, Masoud Hamedi, Kaveh Farokhi Sadabadi University of Maryland, College Park

Acknowledgements:

The research team would like to express its gratitude for the assistance it received from the state highway officials in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Virginia, and Pennsylvania during the course of this study. Their effort was instrumental during the data collection phase of the project. This report would not have been completed without their help.

November 2010

Evaluation Results for the State of Virginia

Executive Summary

Travel time samples were collected along approximately 44 freeway miles and five miles of arterials from Wednesday, September 8, 2010 through Thursday, September 16, 2010 in Virginia. Freeway segments studied were located along I-95 in Prince William, Stafford and Fredericksburg Counties and the arterial data segments studied were located along US Route 1 between Massaponax Church Road and US-17 in Spotsylvania County, just south of Fredericksburg, VA. Data collected were compared with travel time and speed data reported by INRIX as part of the I-95 Vehicle Probe project. The freeway validation data below represents approximately 2283 hours of observations along fourteen freeway segments, totaling approximately 44 miles.

ES Table 1, below summarizes the results of the comparison between the validation data and the INRIX data for freeway segments during this period. As shown, both the average absolute speed error and speed error bias were within specification for all speed bins. Even when errors are measured against the mean (rather than the SEM band), INRIX data quality meets contract quality standards for both the average absolute speed error (AASE) and the Speed Error Bias (SEB).

ES Table 1 -	Virginia Eval	uation Sumn	nary									
	Absolute S (<10	Number of	Hours of									
	Comparison	Comparison	Comparison	Comparison	5 Minute	Data						
Speed Bin with SEM Band with Mean with SEM Band with Mean Samples Collection												
0-30 MPH 4.80 6.30 3.20 4.10 489 40.8												
30-45 MPH	4.00	7.80	1.40	4.30	830	69.2						
45-60 MPH	2.90	5.20	0.40	1.60	1436	119.7						
> 60 MPH	1.80	3.80	-1.50	-2.80	24644	2053.7						
All Speeds	All Speeds 1.98 4.04 -1.23 -2.23 27399 2283.3											
Based upon miles of road	data collected t dway. For more	from Septembe information p	er 8, 2010 throu lease visit i95co	igh Septembe palition.org.	r 16, 2010 a	cross 43.8						

As part of the on-going validation process, vehicle probe data from each state is validated on a rotating basis. Since the inception of the validation process, data on roadways in Virginia was validated on five occasions: July 2008, November 2008, May 2009, November 2009, and September 2010. These five validations represent more than 6300 hours of observations along approximately 125 miles of freeway segments in Virginia. ES Table 2 provides a summary of the cumulative validation effort. As shown, the absolute average speed error and speed error bias are within specification for all speed bins even when errors are measured against the mean.

	Absolute Speed Error Speed Error Bias			rror Bias		
					Number of 5	
	Comparison	Comparison	Comparison	Comparison	Minute	Hours of Data
Speed Bin	with SEM Band	with Mean	with SEM Band	with Mean	Samples	Collection
0-30 MPH	4.51	5.72	1.40	1.66	4300	358.3
30-45 MPH	6.26	8.87	1.20	2.53	3820	318.3
45-60 MPH	2.77	4.85	-0.06	0.62	17569	1464.1
> 60 MPH	2.06	4.12	-1.65	-2.97	50105	4175.4
All Speeds	2.57	4.62	-0.96	-1.59	75794	6316.2

Travel time samples collected along US Route 1 were compared with travel time and speed data reported by INRIX as part of this project. The arterial data is included for informational purposes noting that INRIX has volunteered arterial data at no cost to the Coalition for the first three years, and that the method to evaluate quality on arterial roadways has not been fully evaluated. The Coalition is currently in the process of developing appropriate quality metrics and validation methods.

Data Collection

Bluetooth sensor deployments in Virginia started on Wednesday, September 8, 2010. The actual deployments in Virginia were performed with the assistance of Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) personnel. Sensors remained in the same position until they were retrieved a week later on Thursday, September 16, 2010. This round of data collections in Virginia was designed to cover segments of the highways along which both recurrent and non-recurrent congestions could be expected during both peak and off-peak periods.

Figure 1 presents snapshots of the roadway segments over which Bluetooth sensors were deployed in Virginia. In this figure, red segments represent freeway segments while the blue color indicates the arterial segments selected for analysis in this round of validation.

Table 1 presents a list of specific TMC segments that were selected as the validation sample in Virginia. These segments cover a total length of about 44 freeway miles and five arterial miles. Since some TMC segments in this corridor are less than one mile long, when appropriate, consecutive TMC segments are combined to form path segments longer than one mile. In total, this document reports the results of validation performed on fourteen freeway segments; ten of which are path segments combined from multiple standard TMC segments. The coordinates of the locations at which the Bluetooth sensors were deployed throughout the state of Virginia are highlighted in Table 2. It should be noted that the configuration of consecutive TMC segments is such that the endpoint of one TMC segment and the start point of the next TMC segment are overlapping, so one Bluetooth sensor in that location is covering both TMC segments.

Finally, Table 3 summarizes the segment definitions used in the validation process while also presenting the distances that have been used in the estimation of Bluetooth speeds based on travel times. Details of the algorithm used to estimate equivalent path travel times based on INRIX data feeds for individual TMC segments are provided in a separate report. This algorithm finds an equivalent INRIX travel time (and therefore travel speed) corresponding to each sample Bluetooth travel time observation on the path segment of interest.

Analysis of Results

Table 4 summarizes the data quality measures obtained as a result of comparison between Bluetooth and all reported INRIX speeds. In all speed bins, INRIX data meets the data quality measures set forth in the contract when errors are measured as a distance from the 1.96 times the standard error band.

Table 5 shows the percentage of the time intervals that fall within 5 mph of the SEM band and the mean for each speed bin for all TMC segments in Virginia. Tables 6 and 7 present detailed data for individual TMC segments in Virginia in similar format as Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Note that for some segments and in some speed bins the comparison results may not be reliable due to small number of observations.

Figures 2 and 3 show the overall speed error biases for different speed bins, and the average absolute speed errors for all validation segments in Virginia, respectively. These figures correspond to Table 4.



Figure 1 TMC segments selected for validation in Virginia

							LENGTH
TYPE	TMC	HIGHWAY	STARTING AT	ENDING AT	COUNTY	DIRECTION	(mile)
Freeway	110-04147	I-95	VA-234/EXIT 152	EXIT 150	PRINCE WILLIAM	SOUTHBOUND	1.9
Freeway	110N04147	I-95	EXIT 150	EXIT 150	PRINCE WILLIAM	SOUTHBOUND	0.5
Freeway	110-04146	I-95	EXIT 150	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	PRINCE WILLIAM	SOUTHBOUND	1.9
Freeway	110N04146	I-95	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	PRINCE WILLIAM	SOUTHBOUND	0.2
Freeway	110-04145	I-95	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	4.2
Freeway	110N04145	I-95	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	0.6
Freeway	110-04144	I-95	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	VA-630/EXIT 140	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	2.7
Freeway	110N04144	I-95	VA-630/EXIT 140	VA-630/EXIT 140	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	0.5
Freeway	110-04143	I-95	VA-630/EXIT 140	US-17/EXIT 133	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	6.3
Freeway	110N04143	I-95	US-17/EXIT 133	US-17/EXIT 133	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	0.7
Freeway	110-04142	I-95	US-17/EXIT 133	FREDERICKSBURG/STAFFORD CO LINE (FREDERICKSBURG)	STAFFORD	SOUTHBOUND	0.8
Freeway	110-04926	I-95	FREDERICKSBURG/STAFFORD CO LINE (FREDERICKSBURG)	VA-3/EXIT 130	FREDERICKSBURG	SOUTHBOUND	1.8
Freeway	110+04927	I-95	VA-3/EXIT 130	FREDERICKSBURG/STAFFORD CO LINE	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	1.8
Freeway	110+04143	I-95	FREDERICKSBURG/STAFFORD CO LINE	US-17/EXIT 133	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	0.6
Freeway	110P04143	I-95	US-17/EXIT 133	US-17/EXIT 133	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	1.3
Freeway	110+04144	I-95	US-17/EXIT 133	VA-630/EXIT 140	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	5.9
Freeway	110P04144	I-95	VA-630/EXIT 140	VA-630/EXIT 140	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	0.5
Freeway	110+04145	I-95	VA-630/EXIT 140	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	2.3
Freeway	110P04145	I-95	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	STAFFORD	NORTHBOUND	0.9
Freeway	110+04146	I-95	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	PRINCE WILLIAM	NORTHBOUND	4.0
Freeway	110P04146	I-95	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	PRINCE WILLIAM	NORTHBOUND	0.5
Freeway	110+04147	I-95	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	EXIT 150	PRINCE WILLIAM	NORTHBOUND	1.7
Freeway	110P04147	I-95	EXIT 150	EXIT 150	PRINCE WILLIAM	NORTHBOUND	0.5
Freeway	110+04148	I-95	EXIT 150	VA-234/EXIT 152	PRINCE WILLIAM	NORTHBOUND	1.9
Arterial	110+09514	US-1	MASSAPONAX CHURCH RD	US-17	SPOTSYLVANIA	NORTHBOUND	2.3
Arterial	110-09513	US-1	US-17	MASSAPONAX CHURCH RD	SPOTSYLVANIA	SOUTHBOUND	2.3
							48.5

 Table 1

 Traffic Message Channel segments picked for validation in Virginia

SEGMENT			STA	NDARD TN	1C			SENSOR DE	PLOYMENT	
TYPE	TMC	Endp	oint (1)	Endp	oint (2)	Length	Endpo	oint (1)	Endp	oint (2)
		Lat	Long	Lat	Long	(mile)	Lat	Long	Lat	Long
Freeway	110-04147	38.576785	-77.326826	38.552791	-77.342678	1.90	38.576762	-77.327173		
Freeway	110N04147	38.552791	-77.342678	38.545576	-77.345414	0.52			38.545377	-77.345612
Freeway	110-04146	38.545576	-77.345414	38.524931	-77.367431	1.87	38.545377	-77.345612		
Freeway	110N04146	38.524931	-77.367431	38.522580	-77.370390	0.23			38.521880	-77.371395
Freeway	110-04145	38.522580	-77.370390	38.469497	-77.405313	4.17	38.521880	-77.371395		
Freeway	110N04145	38.469497	-77.405313	38.461401	-77.409376	0.61			38.460808	-77.408513
Freeway	110-04144	38.461401	-77.409376	38.424993	-77.421225	2.65	38.460808	-77.408513		
Freeway	110N04144	38.424993	-77.421225	38.418947	-77.424594	0.46			38.418297	-77.425435
Freeway	110-04143	38.418947	-77.424594	38.344333	-77.487940	6.29	38.418297	-77.425435	38.344058	-77.488373
Freeway	110N04143	38.344333	-77.487940	38.337252	-77.495913	0.65	38.344058	-77.488373		
Freeway	110-04142	38.337252	-77.495913	38.326794	-77.501860	0.79			38.325165	-77.502775
Freeway	110-04926	38.326794	-77.501860	38.300910	-77.504227	1.83	38.325165	-77.502775	38.301643	-77.504270
Freeway	110+04927	38.301117	-77.503383	38.326077	-77.501336	1.76	38.301610	-77.502992		
Freeway	110+04143	38.326077	-77.501336	38.333764	-77.497846	0.56			38.333785	-77.497887
Freeway	110P04143	38.333764	-77.497846	38.348110	-77.482784	1.29	38.333785	-77.497887	38.347703	-77.483065
Freeway	110+04144	38.348110	-77.482784	38.418819	-77.423809	5.92	38.347703	-77.483065		
Freeway	110P04144	38.418819	-77.423809	38.424654	-77.419594	0.46			38.424872	-77.419282
Freeway	110+04145	38.424654	-77.419594	38.456143	-77.408056	2.31	38.424872	-77.419282		
Freeway	110P04145	38.456143	-77.408056	38.468992	-77.404812	0.93			38.469785	-77.404075
Freeway	110+04146	38.468992	-77.404812	38.520401	-77.370950	4.03	38.469785	-77.404075		
Freeway	110P04146	38.520401	-77.370950	38.526363	-77.364486	0.54			38.527158	-77.363580
Freeway	110+04147	38.526363	-77.364486	38.545888	-77.344559	1.74	38.527158	-77.363580		
Freeway	110P04147	38.545888	-77.344559	38.552708	-77.342010	0.49			38.552800	-77.341872
Freeway	110+04148	38.552708	-77.342010	38.576802	-77.325965	1.91	38.552800	-77.341872	38.577112	-77.325677
Arterial	110+09514	38.193279	-77.509171	38.226074	-77.505485	2.30	38.193283	-77.509300	38.223657	-77.506085
Arterial	110-09513	38.226112	-77.505790	38.193279	-77.509171	2.30	38.223658	-77.506563	38.193283	-77.509300
						48.51				

 Table 2

 TMC segment lengths and distances between sensor deployment locations in the state of Virginia

Table 3Path segments identified for validation in Virginia

Туре		STAN SEGM INCL	DARD IENTS UDED			LF	NGTH (MILE)
	Validation Segment	TMC(1)	TMC(2)	STARTING AT	ENDING AT	Standard	Deployment	Error (%)
Freeway	VA05-0001	110-04147	110N04147	VA-234/EXIT 152	EXIT 150	2.4	2.42	0.21%
Freeway	VA05-0002	110-04146	110N04146	EXIT 150	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	2.1	2.15	2.53%
Freeway	VA05-0003	110-04145	110N04145	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	4.8	4.74	-0.76%
Freeway	VA05-0004	110-04144	110N04144	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	VA-630/EXIT 140	3.1	3.14	0.95%
Freeway	110-04143	110-04143		VA-630/EXIT 140	US-17/EXIT 133	6.3	6.25	-0.65%
Freeway	VA05-0005	110N04143	110-04142	US-17/EXIT 133	FREDERICKSBURG/STAFFORD CO LINE (FREDERICKSBURG)	1.4	1.53	5.70%
Freeway	110-04926	110-04926		FREDERICKSBURG/STAFFORD CO LINE (FREDERICKSBURG)	VA-3/EXIT 130	1.8	1.62	-11.26%
Freeway	VA05-0006	110+04927	110+04143	VA-3/EXIT 130	US-17/EXIT 133	2.3	2.28	-1.80%
Freeway	110P04143	110P04143		US-17/EXIT 133	US-17/EXIT 133	1.3	1.25	-2.93%
Freeway	VA05-0007	110+04144	110P04144	US-17/EXIT 133	VA-630/EXIT 140	6.4	6.44	0.83%
Freeway	VA05-0008	110+04145	110P04145	VA-630/EXIT 140	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	3.2	3.28	1.15%
Freeway	VA05-0009	110+04146	110P04146	US-1/VA-610/EXIT 143	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	4.6	4.57	-0.02%
Freeway	VA05-0010	110+04147	110P04147	RUSSELL RD/EXIT 148	EXIT 150	2.2	2.16	-3.08%
Freeway	110+04148	110+04148		EXIT 150	VA-234/EXIT 152	1.9	1.92	0.67%
Arterial	110+09514	110+09514		MASSAPONAX CHURCH RD	US-17	2.3	2.16	-6.07%
Arterial	110-09513	110-09513		US-17	MASSAPONAX CHURCH RD	2.3	2.16	-6.19%
TOTAL						48.4	48.07	-0.68%

Table 4Data quality measures for freeway segments greater than
one mile in Virginia

		Data Quality	Measures		
	1.96 \$	SE Band	Ν	Iean	
SPEED BIN	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	No. of Obs.
0-30	3.2	4.8	4.1	6.3	489
30-45	1.4	4.0	4.3	7.8	830
45-60	0.4	2.9	1.6	5.2	1436
60+	-1.5	1.8	-2.8	3.8	24644

Table 5

Percent observations meeting data quality criteria for freeway segments greater than one mile in Virginia

		Data Quality	y Measures for			
	1.96 SI	E Band	Me	an		
SPEED Percentage BIN falling inside the band		Percentage falling within 5 mph of the band	Percentage equal to the mean	Percentage within 5 mph of the mean	No. of Obs.	
0-30	15%	74%	0%	66%	489	
30-45	18%	70%	0%	54%	830	
45-60	29%	80%	0%	60%	1436	
60+	42%	89%	0%	72%	24644	

	T			blute o	1 1 1 5 1 1	u		
					Data Qualit	y Measures	for	
	Standard			1.96 S	E Band	Ν	/Iean	1
ТМС	TMC length	Bluetooth distance	SPEED BIN	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	No. of Obs.
			0-30	0.7	2.6	0.7	3.4	25*
110.04140	1.01	1.02	30-45	2.9	4.4	2.9	5.7	23*
110+04140	1.91	1.92	45-60	1.3	2.5	2.4	4.1	237
			60+	-0.7	1.4	-1.1	2.9	1910
			0-30	-1.1	1.7	-1.4	2.5	14*
110 04142	(21	()5	30-45	0.4	2.9	0.4	3.6	40
110-04145	0.21	0.25	45-60	0.8	2.3	1.7	3.8	101
			60+	-1.8	1.8	-3.3	3.9	1800
			0-30	16.9	16.9	21.7	21.7	22*
110 04026	1 77	1.62	30-45	3.5	5.0	7.2	9.3	73
110-04920	1.//	1.02	45-60	-0.3	3.0	0.8	5.6	230
			60+	-1.3	1.5	-2.5	3.5	1691
			0-30	10.8	12.4	13.0	15.4	11*
110004143	1.21	1.25	30-45	9.3	9.3	21.8	21.8	5*
110F04145	1.21	1.25	45-60	-3.2	6.9	-1.3	10.6	10*
			60+	-1.8	1.9	-3.6	4.4	1863
			0-30	0.8	2.8	0.9	3.6	148
VA05 0001	2 41	2 4 2	30-45	-0.3	3.7	0.1	5.2	98
VA05-0001	2.41	2.42	45-60	-1.6	4.4	-0.7	6.7	70
			60+	-1.7	1.9	-3.0	3.8	1786
			0-30	2.5	3.8	2.8	4.4	72
VA05-0002	2 11	2.15	30-45	0.1	4.3	0.0	5.2	94
VA05-0002	2.11	2.13	45-60	0.5	4.7	1.4	6.3	45
			60+	-2.5	2.6	-4.1	4.4	1779
			0-30	7.6	7.6	26.7	26.7	3*
VA05-0003	1 78	4 74	30-45	2.0	3.5	9.5	11.7	110
VA05-0005	4.70	4./4	45-60	0.3	1.6	2.1	4.8	168
			60+	-0.8	1.1	-1.7	3.0	1460
			0-30	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	1*
VA05-0004	3 17	3 14	30-45	1.0	3.9	2.3	6.4	63
1405-0004	5.17	5.14	45-60	0.8	4.3	3.2	7.5	33
			60+	-1.0	1.3	-2.0	3.1	1910
			0-30	11.8	12.0	14.6	14.9	46
VA05-0005	1.51	1.53	30-45	2.1	5.1	3.8	8.0	119
1100-0000	1.01	1.00	45-60	-1.2	4.5	-1.1	7.6	76
			60+	-0.7	15	-1.1	3.8	1/137

Table 6 Data quality measures for individual freeway validation segments greater than one mile in the state of Virginia

Table 6 Data quality measures for individual freeway validation segments greater than one mile in the state of Virginia (Cont'd)

					Data Quality	y Measures	for	
	Standard			1.96 S	E Band	Ν	Iean	
ТМС	TMC length	Bluetooth distance	SPEED BIN	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	No. of Obs.
			0-30	1.7	3.4	1.8	4.2	26*
VA05-0006	2 34	2.28	30-45	0.4	3.3	0.9	4.6	32
VA05-0000	2.34	2.28	45-60	-0.7	2.9	-0.3	4.5	104
			60+	-1.3	1.5	-2.8	3.7	1778
			0-30	1.9	4.1	6.1	9.1	13*
VA05-0007	6.43	6.44	30-45	2.7	4.5	14.7	17.1	47
VA05-0007	VA05-0007 6.43		45-60	0.5	2.5	6.5	9.1	62
			60+	-1.0	1.3	-2.1	3.3	1629
			0-30	2.2	4.3	2.6	5.6	50
VA05-0008	316	3.78	30-45	0.7	3.0	6.1	9.4	55
1105-0000	5.10	5.20	45-60	0.7	2.2	2.4	5.1	73
			60+	-2.2	2.3	-4.3	4.7	1589
			0-30	0.1	1.7	0.1	2.2	41
VA05-0009	4 57	4 57	30-45	0.5	1.8	1.4	3.2	36
1105-0005	4.57		45-60	0.9	2.4	1.1	3.2	146
			60+	-2.2	2.3	-3.6	4.1	1943
			0-30	1.7	4.1	1.9	5.7	17*
VA05-0010	2.28	2.16	30-45	2.2	5.2	2.4	6.8	35
,105-0010	2.20	2.10	45-60	2.5	4.1	3.5	5.9	81
			60+	-2.1	2.3	-3.5	4.3	2069

TMC Yea 1.96 SE Band Mean Speed Error Bias Speed Error Bias Average Absolute Speed Error Bias No. of Obs. Speed Error Bias Average Absolute Speed Error Bias No. of Obs. Speed Error Bias Average Absolute Speed Error Bias No. of Obs. Speed Error Bias Speed Error Bias Mean Speed Error Bias Average Absolute Bial			8	Data Quality Measures for								
TMC Speed Error Bias Average Absolute Speed Error Speed Error Bias Average Absolute Speed Error No. Average Absolute Speed Error No. No. of Obs. 100-04143 No. % falling falling inside No. % falling falling inside falling falling he falling band falling band No. % % No. % % No. % % No. % <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1.96 SI</th> <th>E Band</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>M</th> <th>an</th> <th></th> <th></th>				1.96 SI	E Band			M	an			
TMC Speed Error Bias Speed Error Speed Error Bias Speed Error Speed Error Bias Speed Error No. of Obs. 10 No. falling inside the band falling falling inside to the mean falling fa		Z			Average	Absolute	~		Average	Absolute		
TMC Active No. falling inside the band No. falling inside the band No. falling inside the band No. falling of the band % falling of the band No. falling to the band No. equal to the mean No. equal to the No.		BI	Speed E	ror Bias	Speed	Error	Speed E	rror Bias	Speed	Error	No. of	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ТМС	SPEED	No. falling inside the band	% falling inside the band	No. falling within 5 mph of the band	% falling within 5 mph of the band	No. equal to the mean	% equal to the mean	No. within 5 mph of the mean	% within 5 mph of the mean	Obs.	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0-30	7	28%	21	84%	0	0%	21	84%	25*	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	110+04148	30-45	6	26%	14	61%	0	0%	13	57%	23*	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	110+04140	45-60	61	26%	197	83%	0	0%	155	65%	237	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		60+	828	43%	1790	94%	8	0%	1598	84%	1910	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0-30	2	14%	13	93%	0	0%	12	86%	14*	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	110-04143	30-45	3	8%	32	80%	0	0%	29	73%	40	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	110-04145	45-60	22	22%	86	85%	0	0%	75	74%	101	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		60+	682	38%	1611	90%	0	0%	1254	70%	1800	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0-30	2	9%	4	18%	0	0%	3	14%	22*	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	110-04926	30-45	15	21%	43	59%	0	0%	22	30%	73	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	110-0420	45-60	73	32%	175	76%	0	0%	123	53%	230	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		60+	819	48%	1550	92%	2	0%	1284	76%	1691	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0-30	2	18%	2	18%	0	0%	2	18%	11*	
NAME 45-60 5 50% 7 70% 0 0% 4 40% 10* 60+ 792 43% 1633 88% 0 0% 1156 62% 1863 VA05-0001 0.30 18 12% 123 83% 0 0% 112 76% 148 VA05-0001 30-45 15 15% 74 76% 0 0% 63 64% 98 45-60 22 31% 51 73% 0 0% 39 56% 70 60+ 720 40% 1589 89% 0 0% 1295 73% 1786 VA05-0002 30-45 7 7% 65 69% 0 0% 59 63% 94 45-60 7 16% 32 71% 0 0% 26 58% 45 60+ 442 25% 1479 83% 0	110P04143	30-45	0	0%	3	60%	0	0%	1	20%	5*	
60+ 792 $43%$ 1633 $88%$ 0 $0%$ 1156 $62%$ 1863 VA05-0001 $0-30$ 18 $12%$ 123 $83%$ 0 $0%$ 112 $76%$ 148 VA05-0001 $30-45$ 15 $15%$ 74 $76%$ 0 $0%$ 63 $64%$ 98 $45-60$ 22 $31%$ 51 $73%$ 0 $0%$ 39 $56%$ 70 $60+$ 720 $40%$ 1589 $89%$ 0 $0%$ 1295 $73%$ 1786 VA05-0002 $30-45$ 7 $7%$ 655 $69%$ 0 $0%$ 59 $63%$ 94 VA05-0002 $30-45$ 7 $7%$ 655 $69%$ 0 $0%$ 59 $63%$ 94 VA05-0002 $45%$ 7 $7%$ 655 $69%$ 0 $0%$ 59 $63%$	1101 0 11 10	45-60	5	50%	7	70%	0	0%	4	40%	10*	
VA05-0001 $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		60+	792	43%	1633	88%	0	0%	1156	62%	1863	
VA05-0001 30.45 15 15% 74 76% 0 0% 63 64% 98 VA05-0001 45.60 22 31% 51 73% 0 0% 39 56% 70 $60+$ 720 40% 1589 89% 0 0% 1295 73% 1786 VA05-0002 0.30 7 10% 52 72% 0 0% 46 64% 72 VA05-0002 30.45 7 7% 65 69% 0 0% 59 63% 94 45.60 7 16% 32 71% 0 0% 26 58% 45 $60+$ 442 25% 1479 83% 0 0% 0% 0% 0% $3*$ 0.30 0 0% 2 67% 0 0% 0% 0% <th></th> <th>0-30</th> <th>18</th> <th>12%</th> <th>123</th> <th>83%</th> <th>0</th> <th>0%</th> <th>112</th> <th>76%</th> <th>148</th>		0-30	18	12%	123	83%	0	0%	112	76%	148	
VA05-0002 45-60 22 31% 51 73% 0 0% 39 56% 70 $60+$ 720 40% 1589 89% 0 0% 1295 73% 1786 VA05-0002 $0-30$ 7 10% 52 72% 0 0% 46 64% 72 VA05-0002 $30-45$ 7 7% 65 69% 0 0% 59 63% 94 $45-60$ 7 16% 32 71% 0 0% 26 58% 45 $60+$ 442 25% 1479 83% 0 0% 1090 61% 1779 $0-30$ 0 0% 2 67% 0 0% 0 0% 3* 30.45 31 28% 76 60% 0 0% 50 45% 110	VA05-0001	30-45	15	15%	74	76%	0	0%	63	64%	98	
60+ 720 40% 1589 89% 0 0% 1295 73% 1786 VA05-0002 0-30 7 10% 52 72% 0 0% 46 64% 72 30-45 7 7% 65 69% 0 0% 59 63% 94 45-60 7 16% 32 71% 0 0% 26 58% 45 60+ 442 25% 1479 83% 0 0% 1090 61% 1779 0-30 0 0% 2 67% 0 0% 0 3* 30.45 31 28% 76 60% 0 0% 50 45% 110		45-60	22	31%	51	73%	0	0%	39	56%	70	
VA05-0002 $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		60+	720	40%	1589	89%	0	0%	1295	73%	1786	
VA05-0002 30.45 7 7% 65 69% 0 0% 59 63% 94 45.60 7 16% 32 71% 0 0% 26 58% 45 $60+$ 442 25% 1479 83% 0 0% 1090 61% 1779 0.30 0 0% 2 67% 0 0% 0 3* 30.45 31 28% 76 60% 0 0% 50 45% 110		0-30	7	10%	52	72%	0	0%	46	64%	72	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	VA05-0002	30-45	7	7%	65	69%	0	0%	59	63%	94	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		45-60	7	16%	32	71%	0	0%	26	58%	45	
0.50 0 0% 2 6% 0 0% 0 0% 3^{*}		60+	442	25%	1479	83%	0	0%	1090	61%	1779	
		0-30	0	0%	2	6/%	0	0%	0	0%	3*	
VA05-0003 30-45 31 2670 70 0970 0 070 30 45% 110	VA05-0003	30-45	31	28%	76	69%	0	0%	50	45%	110	
45-60 6/ 40% 155 92% 0 0% 116 69% 168		45-60	6/	40%	155	92%	0	0%	116	69%	168	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		60+	/83	54%	1400	96%	0	0%	1214	83%	1460	
0.50 0 0% 1 100% 0 0% 1 100% 1^{+}		0-30	0	U%	1	100%	0	0%	1 20	100%	1*	
VA05-0004 $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VA05-0004	50-45 45 CD	/	11%	40	/ 5%	0	0%	30 15	450	22	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		45-00	9	27%	21	04%	0	0%	15	45%	33 1010	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0.20	09/	4/%	1790	94% 37%	0	0%	1312	79% 30%	1910	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		30.45	2 16	470 1306	1/	57% 61%	0	0%	14 55	50% 46%	40	
VA05-0005 $30^{-4.5}$ 10 1370 12 0170 0 070 53 40% 119 VA05-0005 45 60 18 240% 45 50% 0 00% 27 260% 76	VA05-0005	30-43 45 60	10	2/10/	12	50%	0	0%	55 27	4070	76	
60+ 811 56% 1308 91% 4 0% 1075 75% 1437		+3-00 60+	811	2470 56%	1308	91%	4	0%	1075	75%	1437	

Table 7Observations meeting data quality criteria for individual freeway validation segments
greater than one mile in the state of Virginia

Table 7Observations meeting data quality criteria for individual freeway validation segments
greater than one mile in the state of Virginia (Cont'd)

				Da	ata Quality	Measures	for			
			1.96 SI	E Band			Μ	ean		
	SPEED BIN	Speed E	rror Bias	Average Speed	Average Absolute Speed Error		Speed Error Bias		Average Absolute Speed Error	
ТМС		No. falling inside the band	% falling inside the band	No. falling within 5 mph of the band	% falling within 5 mph of the band	No. equal to the mean	% equal to the mean	No. within 5 mph of the mean	% within 5 mph of the mean	No. of Obs.
	0-30	4	15%	24	92%	0	0%	20	77%	26*
VA05-0006	30-45	7	22%	23	72%	0	0%	20	63%	32
VA05-0000	45-60	28	27%	82	79%	0	0%	69	66%	104
	60+	834	47%	1617	91%	0	0%	1273	72%	1778
	0-30	2	15%	10	77%	0	0%	8	62%	13*
VA 05 0007	30-45	10	21%	31	66%	0	0%	16	34%	47
VA05-0007	45-60	29	47%	51	82%	0	0%	15	24%	62
	60+	859	53%	1520	93%	1	0%	1293	79%	1629
	0-30	12	24%	43	86%	0	0%	38	76%	50
XA 05 0000	30-45	17	31%	43	78%	0	0%	30	55%	55
VA05-0008	45-60	32	44%	62	85%	0	0%	41	56%	73
	60+	586	37%	1349	85%	1	0%	951	60%	1589
	0-30	8	20%	38	93%	0	0%	37	90%	41
VA 05 0000	30-45	7	19%	34	94%	0	0%	33	92%	36
VA05-0009	45-60	24	16%	127	87%	0	0%	115	79%	146
	60+	538	28%	1662	86%	0	0%	1302	67%	1943
	0-30	5	29%	12	71%	0	0%	9	53%	17*
XA 05 0010	30-45	10	29%	22	63%	0	0%	19	54%	35
vA05-0010	45-60	15	19%	53	65%	0	0%	41	51%	81
	60+	672	32%	1744	84%	1	0%	1330	64%	2069



Figure 2 Speed error bias for freeway segments greater than one mile in Virginia



Figure 3 Average absolute speed error for freeway segments greater than one mile in Virginia

Analysis of Results for Arterials

Travel time samples collected along US Route 1 were compared with travel time and speed data reported by INRIX as part of this project. The arterial data is included for informational purposes noting that INRIX has volunteered arterial data at no cost to the Coalition for the first three years, and that the method to evaluate quality on arterial roadways has not been fully evaluated. The Coalition is currently in the process of developing appropriate quality metrics and validation methods.

Table 8 summarizes the data quality measures obtained as a result of comparison between Bluetooth and all reported INRIX speeds on two arterial segments considered in this round of validations. In all speed bins below 60mph, INRIX data meets the freeway data quality measures set forth in the contract when errors are measured as a distance from the 1.96 times the standard error band. In addition, no observations are made in the speed bin above 60 mph, which is compatible with the posted speed limits on the arterial segments in question.

Table 9 shows the percentage of the time intervals that fall within 5 mph of the SEM band and the mean for each speed bin for all arterial segments in Virginia. Tables 10 and 11 present detailed data for individual arterial segments in Virginia in similar format as Tables 8 and 9, respectively. Note that for some segments and in some speed bins the comparison results may not be reliable due to small number of observations.

Figures 4 and 5 show the overall speed error biases for different speed bins, and the average absolute speed errors for all considered arterial segments in Virginia, respectively. These figures correspond to Table 8.

Table 8Data quality measures for arterial segments greater than
one mile in Virginia

		Data Quality	Measures	for			
	1.96 \$	SE Band	Ν	Mean			
SPEED BIN	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	No. of Obs.		
0-30	3.0	3.0	15.3	15.3	39		
30-45	-0.7	1.1	-2.4	4.1	272		
45-60 60+	-4.7	4.7	-8.9	8.9	78		

Table 9

Percent observations meeting data quality criteria for arterial segments greater than one mile in Virginia

	Data Quality Measures for						
SPEED BIN	1.96 SI	E Band	Me				
	Percentage falling inside the band	Percentage falling within 5 mph of the band	Percentage equal to the mean	Percentage within 5 mph of the mean	No. of Obs.		
0-30	46%	72%	0%	0%	39		
30-45	67%	93%	0%	68%	272		
45-60	27%	60%	0%	10%	78		
60+							

Table 10Data quality measures for individual arterial validation segments greater than one mile in
the state of Virginia

				0				
			Data Quality Measures for					
				1.96 \$	SE Band	Mean		
ТМС	Standard TMC length	Bluetooth distance	SPEED BIN	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	Speed Error Bias	Average Absolute Speed Error	No. of Obs. 22* 158 41 17* 114 37
	2.30	2.16	0-30	2.3	2.3	14.4	14.4	22*
110+09514			30-45	-1.3	1.4	-3.9	4.8	158
110+07514			45-60	-6.1	6.1	-9.9	9.9	41
			60+					
			0-30	3.9	3.9	16.3	16.3	17*
110-09513	2.30	2.16	30-45	0.2	0.6	-0.2	3.1	114
110 00010			45-60	-3.2	3.2	-7.8	7.8	37
			60+					

Table 11	
Observations meeting data quality criteria for individual arterial validation seg	ments
greater than one mile in the state of Virginia	

тмс		Data Quality Measures for								
		1.96 SE Band					Μ	ean		North
	BIN	Speed Error Bias		Average Speed	Absolute Error	bsolute Error E		ias Average Absolute Speed Error		
	SPEED	No. falling inside the band	% falling inside the band	No. falling within 5 mph of the band	% falling within 5 mph of the band	No. equal to the mean	% equal to the mean	No. within 5 mph of the mean	% within 5 mph of the mean	No. of Obs.
	0-30	12	55%	18	82%	0	0%	0	0%	22*
103+05623	30-45	99	63%	142	90%	0	0%	90	57%	158
	45-60	6	15%	19	46%	0	0%	3	7%	41
	60+									
103-04821	0-30	6	35%	10	59%	0	0%	0	0%	17*
	30-45	82	72%	111	97%	0	0%	96	84%	114
	45-60	15	41%	28	76%	0	0%	5	14%	37
	60+									



Figure 4 Speed error bias for arterial segments greater than one mile in Virginia



Figure 5 Average absolute speed error for arterial segments greater than one mile in Virginia